

“Red Lines” vs. Norms: Two Different Attempts to Achieve Space Security

Victoria Samson

Secure World Foundation

Given at “Negotiating the Future of Space Security”

April 7, 2010

- Red lines: What are they? How do they work?
- Pros/cons of red lines
- Legal regimes for space
- Norms of behavior for responsible space actors: Why follow them?
- Examples of emerging norms
- Pros/cons of norms

- What are red lines? What do they hope to achieve and avoid?
 - Declarations that certain, specified acts by an opponent will automatically trigger a punitive response
 - Hope to deter unwanted behavior
- What is needed for them to work?
 - Clear strategic communications with adversary
 - Spectrum of responses spelled out ahead of time
 - Decision-making authority to take action when required
 - Ability to closely monitor the situation
 - Evaluation and ranking of space assets in terms of worth/value
 - Capacity to change them if circumstances warrant it
 - Capability to carry out responses to red lines, and the belief by others that you will do so

– Pros

- Can clarify priorities
- Force policy-makers to think through actions
- Signal to opponents likely responses and thus avoid making space unsustainable in the long-run
- Possibly could be effective during a crisis if used in a very limited way

– Cons:

- Assets outside of red line are seen as fair targets
- How do you determine what is protected in space?
- May cut down freedom of response by policy-makers
- May end up increasing ambiguity instead of decreasing it
- Already ambiguity surrounding space legal regime
- Logistical issue: SSA capability relatively limited, so decisions may be made with incomplete information

- 1967 Outer Space Treaty
- 1968 Rescue Agreement
- 1972 Liability Convention
- 1974 Registration Convention



Norms of behavior for responsible space actors

Promoting Cooperative Solutions for Space Security

- Why follow norms? Because they are in the state's best interest; if not, won't follow
 - By agreeing to certain rules, states would clarify responsible and irresponsible actions
- Factor in that space is global commons, so need all space powers contributing
- Space-related examples of norms of behavior
- Examples of creating norms
- North Korea: an example of abiding/ignoring norms for satellite launch
- What happens when space actors defy norms?
- Why do norms matter?

- Pros
 - Can establish effective behavior that will allow space-users to continue to receive benefits from space
 - Could lay groundwork for later legal agreements along the same lines
 - Avoid sticky discussions that such legal regimes would necessitate at this time
- Cons
 - No legal obligation to follow through on norms of behavior
 - May handle the easiest issues to resolve internationally, which may not be what's needed to ensure space usable in the long-run
 - Don't cover all contingencies/fill in the blanks currently existing in existing space regulatory regimes

- Questions?

- Thank you!

Victoria Samson
Washington Office Director
Secure World Foundation
vsamson@swfound.org
1.202.462.1841